IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O. O. C. J.

WRIT PETITION NO.1587 OF 1993

M/s. Fibro Plast Corportion. ...Petitioners.
Vs.
Municipal Corporation for Greater Bombay & Ors. ...Respondents.
....
Mr. Mohan Jaykar i/b. Khaitan & Jaykar for the Petitioners.
Mrs. A.R. Joshi for the Respondents.
.....
CORAM : S.RADHAKRISHNAN &
DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, JJ.

November 25, 2004.

P.C.:

Heard.

During the course of argument, Ms. Savla, the learned counsel for the Respondents informed that no “N” form demand letters in respect of the goods originally imported by the Petitioners and sold on high-seas are pending before the Respondents.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the Respondents, the learned counsel for the Petitioners submits that the Petitioners are not desirous of getting the matter decided on merits. The learned Counsel for the Petitioners further submitted that the Petitioners are entitled to facility of “N” form. Responding to that, the learned Counsel for the Respondents submitted that in case the Petitioners make an appropriate application for “N” form facility, the same would be considered in

accordance with law.

In view of the submissions made by the learned Counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of in the following terms:-

O R D E R

The statement of the learned Counsel for the Respondents that no “N” form demand letter concerning the goods imported by the Petitioners is pending with the Respondents is accepted.

The writ petition is allowed to be withdrawn.

The Petitioners shall be at liberty to make an application to the Respondents for “N” form facility and if such application is made, the Respondents are directed to take decision thereon in accordance with law.

No costs.

(S.RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)

(DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, J.)